A PROJECT REPORT

CROSS CULTURE ORGANIZATION-TEXTUS INFO SOLUTION

NAVEEN KAUSHIK[1], Mrs. DEVALAPALLY SHAILAJA [2], Dr.K.VEERAIAH [3]
(Professor & HOD) .

AUTHOR[1], AUTHOR [2], AUTHOR [3]

Marri Laxman Reddy Institute of Technology & Management, Hyderabad

ABSTRACT

In today's globalised corporate environment, organisations must use effective cross-cultural management practices. This study explores the dynamics of cross-cultural management within Textus Info, my study is focusing on a sample of 100 employees. The research aims to identify and analyse the factors that cross-cultural management practices within the organization.

I.   INTRODUCTION

Many large companies use cross-cultural virtual teams due to fast-growing communications technologies. If only humanity could advance as fast as technology! Naturally, it can't. Due to misinterpretations, unspoken assumptions, and traumatic interactions caused by different work styles, cross-cultural virtual teams lose much of their potential business productivity.

HR managers may better comprehend personnel from different cultures via cross-cultural management. Given how globalised corporations are, it's crucial. Managers must interact with individuals from over the world, many of whom have distinct cultures.

II.   NEED FOR THE STUDY

A corporation may effectively manage personnel from different cultures via cross-cultural management. Workplace diversity has created this necessity. Due to globalisation, working class education, and relaxing international borders, the workforce has become more diverse. The organisation includes people of various classes, castes, genders, faiths, and nations.

III.   OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To Study about the major challenges in cross-culture management in the organization level.
- To manage the systematic approach of cross-culture management in the organization.
- To analyze the factors effecting on miscommunication and management in organization in the part of the cross-culture management.
- To improve Cultural Awareness in The Workplace.

1

IV.   HYPOTHESIS OF THE STUDY

H#0   There is no significance relation between the employee satisfaction on cross cultural.

H#1   There is a significance relation between the employee satisfaction on cross cultural.

V.   SCOPE OF THE STUDY

Concept and scope for HR managers may better comprehend personnel from different cultures via cross-cultural management. Given how globalised corporations are, it's crucial. Managers must interact with individuals from over the world, many of whom have distinct cultures.

VI.   RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Data Collection:

Right after identifying as well as defining the research issue and determining certain info needed solving the issue, the next thing is looking for the sort as well as source of information which might deliver the desired results.

There are generally two data types that a research is able to collect one will be the main data as well as the other will be the secondary data.

Primary data: It forms the main part of the research. Responses obtained from the workers of several departments as well as designation in created as the main source of date. Questionnaires have been circulated among the HR manager who is working with intercultural employees.

Sample size: 100

period of Study: -45 Days

VII.   LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- Most of employee are not Responding properly

- The sample size is limited to organization

- Due to covid most of employee are not ready to give proper response

- Cross culture is sensitive issue

2

VIII.   REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Jeek (2022) By expanding their business units abroad, corporations have improved their financial situations and widened their global business networks. This enables companies to compete more effectively in global markets. The most significant factor in the development of cross-cultural leadership is the expansion of modern enterprises beyond traditional geographical boundaries.

Peter Firkola (2003) Theoretical explanations for the INTERPRETATION of culture in organisational behaviour are lacking in cross-cultural management research. This article explores methodological problems that contribute to the aforementioned deficiency. The primary point is that despite researchers' attempts to address these difficulties, many methodological flaws persist. This article evaluates the reasons why and makes recommendations for further research.

Diwakar Singh (2014) Globalization is now a reality. Technological advancements and trade and investment liberalisation have made global business operations easier and faster. Globalization has made many businesses operate in several countries.

Charu Pareek (2019) Globalization in business is becoming an addiction. Many companies have expanded their company divisions abroad to improve their financial position and build global business networks.

Christoph Barmeyer (2019) Research on CCM is comprised of a number of different disciplines, each of which has its own set of presumptions on its own subject matter, paradigms, and methods. Through the examination of 777 articles that were published in two prestigious journals between the years 2001 and 2018

Vaibhav Misra (2012) In order to boost earnings, businesses are expanding into international markets as the global economy grows more integrated. To assist them in their commercial tasks, these companies employ teams on the global market. These teams represent a wide range of racial and religious origins. The difficulties that these multicultural teams face will be examined by the author.

Mick Gregson (2007) When doing research that spans several cultures, academic researchers have a responsibility to take cultural diversity into account. This article provides an overview of the difficulties that a cross-cultural research project conducted in India and the United Kingdom encountered while it was being carried out. It brings emphasis to the problems that academic researchers could confront in terms of data gathering, cultural norms and peer pressure, ethical concerns and awareness, working with a cross-cultural team, and personal issues.

IX.   DATA ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION

1.Gender distribution	Respondents	Percent
Male	27	26.5

3

Female	8	7.8
Male	67	65.7
Total	102	100.0

INTERPRETATION: The table shows the gender distribution of the respondents. The majority of respondents (65.7%) are male, while 26.5% are female and 7.8% are another gender.

6. What challenges have you faced when communicating with team members from different cultures?	Respondents	Percent
No	34	33.3
Yes	68	66.7
Total	102	100.0

INTERPRETATION: The table shows the percentage of respondents who have faced challenges when communicating with team members from different cultures. The majority of respondents (66.7%) have faced challenges when communicating with team members from different cultures, while 33.3% have not.

7. How do you think cultural differences impact teamwork and collaboration in the workplace?	Respondents	Percent
Agree	43	42.2
Disagree	9	8.8
Neither agree or disagree	28	27.5
Strongly agree	22	21.6
Total	102	100.0

INTERPRETATION: The table shows the percentage of respondents who agree that cultural differences impact teamwork and collaboration in the workplace. The majority of respondents (42.2%) agree that cultural differences impact teamwork and collaboration in the workplace, while 8.8% disagree, 27.5% neither agree nor disagree, and 21.6% strongly agree.

19. Do you think the company's policies and practices consider the diverse cultural backgrounds of employees?	Respondents	Percent
Not really	2	2.0
Not sure	16	15.7
To some extent	63	61.8
yes completely	21	20.6

4

Total		102	100.0

INTERPRETATION: The table shows the percentage of respondents who think the company's policies and practices consider the diverse cultural backgrounds of employees. The majority of respondents (61.8%) think the company's policies and practices consider the diverse cultural backgrounds of employees to some extent, while 2.0% think they do not consider it at all, 15.7% are not sure, and 20.6% think the company's policies and practices consider the diverse cultural backgrounds of employees completely.

Hypothesis Testing

Sl.No	5-Scale Likert (Agree-Disagree)	Cross Culture (%)	Employee Feedback (%)
1	Agree	94	59
2	Disagree	97	69
3	Agree	50	71
4	Disagree	53	100
5	Agree	53	86
Average		72.67	76.33

Null Hypothesis

There is no significant difference in employee feedback in cross-culture organizations.

Alternative Hypothesis

There is a significant difference in employee feedback in cross-culture organizations.

Interpretation

Based on the average percentages for Cross Culture (72.67%) and Employee Feedback (76.33%), the hypothesis testing shows a slight difference in the means. If the threshold for significance is set lower than the observed difference, the null hypothesis would be rejected, indicating that there is a significant difference in employee feedback within cross-culture organizations. Otherwise, it would be accepted, indicating no significant difference.

X.   FINDINGS

1. The majority of respondents (65.7%) are male, followed by 26.5% identifying as another gender, and 7.8% female.

2. Most respondents (62.7%) are aged between 35-45 years, with smaller proportions aged 25-35 years (11.8%), 45-65 years (24.5%), and above 65 years (1.0%).

3. A higher percentage of respondents (59.8%) have a graduation degree, while 40.2% have completed post-graduation.

4. The majority (73.5%) have worked in a multicultural team, whereas 26.5% have not.

5. Most respondents (68.6%) are comfortable with cross-culture, but 31.4% feel uncomfortable.

5

XI.   SUGGESTIONS

1. **Implement Comprehensive Training Programs**: Regularly conduct cross-cultural training sessions for all employees to enhance their understanding and appreciation of diverse cultural backgrounds. These sessions should cover cultural norms, communication styles, and conflict resolution strategies.

2. **Promote Inclusive HR Policies**: Adapt HR policies and practices to accommodate the diverse cultural backgrounds of employees. This includes flexible holiday schedules, dietary accommodations, and inclusive language in company communications and policies.

3. **Foster Open Communication**: Encourage open dialogue about cultural differences and their impact on teamwork. Create safe spaces for employees to share their experiences and challenges related to cross-cultural interactions, ensuring that their voices are heard and respected.

4. **Develop Cultural Sensitivity Programs**: Introduce programs that focus on increasing cultural sensitivity and reducing biases in the workplace. This can include workshops, seminars, and online resources aimed at raising awareness and promoting inclusive behaviors.

XII.   CONCLUSION

In conclusion, cross-cultural organizations present both challenges and opportunities in the globalized business landscape. Effective management of cultural diversity can lead to enhanced creativity, innovation, and competitive advantage. However, it requires understanding and respect for different cultural norms, values, and communication styles. Organizations must invest in cultural competence training and foster an inclusive environment where diverse perspectives are valued and integrated into decision-making processes. Leadership plays a crucial role in modeling inclusive behavior and facilitating open, respectful dialogue. By embracing cultural diversity, organizations can improve employee satisfaction, enhance collaboration, and drive sustainable growth. Ultimately, the success of cross-cultural organizations hinges on their ability to create a harmonious workplace that leverages the unique strengths of its diverse workforce while navigating the complexities of cultural differences with sensitivity and strategic insight.

XIII.   REFERENCES:

- Trepans, Tom, and Walter Verschueren. *Crossing Cultures*, 2009.

- Boyacigiller, Nakiye Avdan, et al. *Crossing Cultures*. Routledge, 2004.

- Oster, Judith. *Crossing Cultures*, 2003.

- *Cross Culture*, 2015.

- Wood, Cordelia. *Cross Culture*, 2015.

- Sun, Yan, and Xiao Mark Sun. *Cross Culture*, 2016.

6

Marathe Sushma. "human resource Management, Cases" as well as concepts, The ICFAI ICFAI Faculty Press, Hyderabad. 1st Edition, 2008.

Mathis Jackson and Mathis Robert. "Management of Human Resources" Management of Human Resources, Australian Thompson Publications, South Western Australia, Australia, 2001.

Firth L, David J Mellor, Kathleen A Claude and Moore Loquet (2007). "How could managers deal cross culture management ?" J. manages.Psychol. nineteen (two): 170 187.

Forbes, A. (1971) "Non parametric Strategies of Estimating the Survivor Function", Volume 20, The Statistician, pp twenty-seven - fifty-two.

Gardner, D.G. (2009). Employee cross culture management organizational Change. The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 23(3), 11 28

7